

April 6, 1983

SENATOR HABERMAN: I have to object to that because I have an Attorney General's opinion coming on LB 618. As this bill has many, many subjects, it changes many, many things and has money in it. For example, it changes the authority for the distribution of the Native American Indian Law Enforcement Aid from the Department of Administrative Services to the Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. There is no general fund impact. Then in the or right before that, Section 6 through 13, the impact on the general fund is \$90,000. Sections 1 through 5 there is an impact of \$16,572. Sections 15 through 16 has an impact on salary increases that entirely different. Section 21, no estimated of general fund impact is available. Section 22 through 25 it's a residence pertaining to schools which has nothing to do with the budget. Section 26 through 27, no fiscal impact expected. So as I say I have asked a....Section 29 through 31, the Kearney Safety Center shall take over another center. The bill is unconstitutional so when we stand here and say we are going to go from bills 263 to 269 to suspend the rules, I think we are setting a very, very dangerous precedent and I cannot support what Senator Warner is asking for because it is just a bad precedent. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner, do you wish to respond to that?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Haberman, as I indicated, the reason there are a number of bills is to make one subject matter per bill and included in this number it does exactly that. 618 that you wrote an opinion will only have one subject matter in it with the committee amendment and that is the cap on Medicaid. There is absolutely not, after the committee amendment is adopted, not another thing in there and again as I indicated, I had an extensive visit with the Attorney General's office attempting to group all of these things in a way that they would comply with the one subject matter per bill and once the committee amendments are adopted then that will be complied with but in addition, all of these have had public hearings in any event as well at this point.

SENATOR HABERMAN: But....Mr. President, Senator Warner, that is fine. Do you know what the committee amendments say? You have studied this but you are asking the entire body here to adopt this without that knowledge and I don't think that is correct because it is quite evident that here is one senator that doesn't understand it and you say the committee amendments change it so let's go ahead and do all these things. Maybe I don't like the committee amendments. So if you want to take them up one by one and suspend the rules I sure won't argue with you but to take...how many